

# Identifying the Role of Financial Obligations on Work Stress, Work-Life Balance, and Work Commitment of Bank Employees in General Santos City

Christie D. Ambing<sup>1\*</sup>, Kenneth L. Sanido<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Business and Accountancy, Mindanao State University-General Santos, Philippines

<sup>2</sup>Professor, College of Business and Accountancy, Mindanao State University-General Santos, Philippines

**Abstract**—The impact of financial obligations within the context of the General Santos City bank employees was studied to determine how it affected work stress, work-life balance, and work commitment. With emerging market dynamics and the evolving employee value proposition, this research filled a relevant gap in the study by exploring the impact of financial obligations on employee well-being and organizational behavior in the banking industry in the locality. Data was collected from a sample of 185 employees from six of the major banks using a quantitative descriptive correlational design. The study found that while financial obligations were low overall, certain debt sources, such as credit card debts and loans, indicated moderate levels. Higher financial obligations had a significant association with increased work stress and decreased work-life balance, but counterintuitively had a positive association with work commitment. These findings highlighted the nuanced effects of financial stressors on overall performance and illustrated the need for organizational interventions directed towards employee well-being and productivity. The study was also particularly useful for policy makers and bank administrators concerned with improving the working conditions of General Santos City.

**Index Terms**—Financial Obligations, Work Stress, Work-Life Balance, Work Commitment, Financial Stress, Bank Employees, General Santos City.

## 1. Introduction

### A. Background of the Study

For employees across diverse sectors, the burden of financial responsibilities has always been associated with stress. In banking, where work was centered on finances and involves myriad uncertainties, the repercussions of financial stress can be particularly acute (Kim et al., 2021).

Due to being one of the most stressful and demanding industries, the banking sector was constantly dealing with the challenges of financial obligations, which affect employee stress, work-life balance (WLB), work commitment, and general organizational effectiveness. Given the high job demands and long hours that bank employees were required to put in, there was little to no flexibility, which made achieving

WLB almost impossible, further affecting commitment towards work and towards the organization as a whole (Smith et al., 2019; Tang et al., 2020).

However, underlying all these issues was the fact that the entire global financial ecosystem was perpetually shifting due to changes in the economy, advancements in technology, and shifts in regulations. These factors not only altered the financial behaviors of people and organizations, but also added to the complexities involved in fulfilling financial obligations in the modern world (Hastings & Lutmar, 2023). The Philippine economy has seen profound changes in the consumer spending patterns and debt levels of the populace in recent years (BSP, 2022). This growth posed challenges for the banking sector. Employees at a Philippines-based bank may be struggling with meeting financial expectations while simultaneously trying to balance their personal finances.

The overwhelming impacts of financial pressures on employee wellness and productivity have been documented. Stress from finances was associated with greater levels of anxiety, depression, and other health issues (Prawitz et al., 2020). Moreover, financial stress created barriers for individuals attempting to manage their work and home, which introduced conflict and dissatisfaction in both domains (Berdahl & Moore, 2018). In the banking industry where professionals were not only dealing with clients' money but also providing financial guidance, the pressure stemming from economic reality of having to achieve set financial goals was likely to amplify these challenges (Sekola et al., 2019).

Furthermore, the interdependence among financial responsibilities, job-related stress, work-life balance, and organizational citizenship behavior has recently attracted some attention. As an example, Zhang et al. (2018) documented that employees burdened with heavy financial responsibilities were likely to develop work-related stress, which was in part linked to maladaptive coping mechanisms like avoidance and rumination. In another example, Liu et al. (2020) studied the impact of financial obligations on the work-life balance of bank

\*Corresponding author: [christie.ambing@msugensan.edu.ph](mailto:christie.ambing@msugensan.edu.ph)

employees. Their findings showed that employees with greater financial obligations had a poorer work-life balance, and this impact was worsened in the presence of inadequate support from the organization. There seems to be a gap in literature regarding the relationship of financial obligations with work stress, work-life balance, managerial work-life balance and work commitment, especially in the context of the banking sector in the study area. Local banks, while attempting to tackle work-life integration issues, did face questions concerning the impact of these integration initiatives on employee commitment in that sector (Smith *et al.*, 2022).

Given the significance of the banking sector in General Santos City and its impact on the local economy, understanding the role of financial obligations towards work stress, work-life balance, and work commitment was crucial for organizational success. By investigating the impact of financial obligations on work stress, work-life balance, and work commitment, this study sought to contribute to the existing literature and provide practical recommendations for enhancing employee well-being and organizational effectiveness within the banking industry in General Santos City.

## 2. Review of Related Literature

### A. Work Stress

Work related stress was a common phenomenon that can greatly impact an individual's mental, physical and occupational health. Stress levels of bank employees and its consequences have been studied particularly regarding this issue (Wang 2019).

Chen *et al.* (2018) studied factors contributing to work stress for bank employees, noting the workload, job insecurity, interpersonal conflicts, and finances as major markers of concern. These findings highlighted the contributions of both work-related and personal factors to employee stress levels in the banking industry.

In addition, Wang and Zhang (2019) studied the impacts of work stress on the performance of bank employees. Their results indicated a negative impact of increased work stress on performance levels; employees under high stress were less likely to perform optimally.

Also, Li and Liu (2021) assessed the role of work stress on the intention of employees to leave the organization within the banking sector. The results showed that employees under high work stress were more likely to want to leave their positions, therefore identifying a pattern of stress and turnover in banking organizations.

### B. Work-Life Balance

A reasonable work-life balance (WLB) refers not only to the balance between work-related activities and rest, family, leisure, or other personal activities, but also embraced all dimensions of personal development (Greenhaus & Allen, 2019). It has been pointed out by researchers that work-life balance was highly subjective in nature, whereby the individual's satisfaction, fulfillment, or conflict in managing competing demands was the tricky component (Shockley *et al.*,

2018). Moreover, work-life balance was more than just the absence of conflict; it entailed experiences and engagement in work and personal life that were positive and meaningful (Greenhaus & Allen, 2019).

Different factors have been identified towards achieving balance in one's personal and professional life. These factors included organizational factors like job characteristics, organizational culture, and support policies (Kalliath & Brough, 2019); individual characteristics which embodied personality traits and coping strategies such and work values (Zhou & Wang, 2020); and contextual factors like gender roles, socioeconomic status and cultural norms (Crouter *et al.*, 2019). Changes in technology, along with remote work and flexible schedules, have also altered the trajectory of work-life balance (Raghuram *et al.*, 2021)

Achieving work-life balance was beneficial in many ways to individuals, organizations, and society as a whole. Work-life balance positively influenced the mental health and well-being of an employee, while increasing job satisfaction and lessening turnover intentions (Shockley *et al.*, 2018). Moreover, organizations that promoted work-life balance initiatives reported enhanced organizational performance and increased competitiveness, since employee engagement, productivity, and retention improved (Kalliath & Brough, 2019). In addition, the community suffered less from stress and burnout while enabling greater social and communal participation, thereby improving the quality of life for everyone (Allen *et al.*, 2019).

Even with increasing appreciation towards work-life balance, its achievement and implementation faced numerous challenges. Work-life conflict was still an issue for some demographic groups, such as parents, caregivers, and other marginalized groups (Crouter *et al.*, 2019). Additionally, the merging of personal life with professional life due to work globalization and technology rose issues of work intensification and reduction of leisure time (Raghuram *et al.*, 2021). Emerging complexities of work-life balance required innovative solutions relying on individual, organizational, and societal factors, so more research can be done on their interplay. Longitudinal research was essential for measuring the impact of work-life balance interventions on well-being, career progression, and overall life satisfaction over time.

### C. Work Commitment

Work commitment or organizational commitment captured a very important dimension of the employee's behavior and attitude dichotomy within the organization. It showed the degree of an employee's identification and loyalty with the organization. Researchers have tried to understand over the years different aspects, predecessors, results, and processes of defining work commitment.

Work commitment was generally described having three primary components- affective, continuance and normative commitment (Meyer & Allen, 1991). Affective commitment represented feeling of attachment and identification towards the organization, while continuance commitment reflected perceived costs an employee leaving the organization. Normative commitment, on the other hand, involved an

obligation or responsibility to stay with the organization (Meyer *et al.*, 2002).

A number of more recent works have examined these dimensions deeper and their consequences. For example, in the more recent study by Meyer, Stanley and Herscovitch (2019), they observed that affective commitment was positively correlated with job satisfaction and intention to stay, while showing weakest relation with outcomes was continuity commitment. Moreover, it was also found that normative commitment has a moderating effect on the relationship between affective commitment and turnover intention which showed its significance for understanding employee retention (Freedman and Longhini, 2021).

Understanding the development of organizational commitment for employees remained an ongoing area of research. More recent work has focused on different social and organizational factors such as leadership style, organizational culture, job characteristics, and other social exchange relations as antecedents.

Transformational leadership was considered one of the most beneficial types of leadership because it has been linked to greater organizational commitment (Avolio & Bass, 2018). Transformational leaders stimulated their subordinates' passion and enthusiasm which created trust, loyalty and identification with the organization (Ng *et al.*, 2020). On the other hand, Tepper (2018) described an opposite approach called abusive supervision which fostered adverse impact to organizational commitment because employees felt mistreated and disengaged from work.

Organizational culture can also impact the level of commitment an employee has towards the organization. Supportive organizational culture was often positive, which promotes trust and fairness. Brown *et al.* (2022) found that such organizational culture strengthened affective commitment and decreased intention to leave the organization. Moreover, Brown *et al.* (2022) confirmed that organizational culture positively affected retention and decreased absenteeism and turnover rates. Along with positive organizational culture, autonomy, task significance, and feedback have also shown to be positively related to work commitment as they added to level of meaningfulness and satisfaction of work (Hackman & Oldham, 2018).

Work commitment impacted both individual and organizational outcomes. Higher levels of commitment have been found to increase job satisfaction, performance, retention as well as decrease absenteeism and turnover rates (Meyer *et al.*, 2002).

Recent studies have delved further into the impacts of work commitment across different settings. To illustrate, a meta-analysis conducted by Allen *et al.* (2021) revealed that affective commitment had a greater impact on job performance relative to other types of commitment such as continuance or normative commitment. In the same manner, a longitudinal study done by Riketta and Dick (2020) showed that work commitment over time forecasted later job satisfaction and intention to leave the organization.

In addition to the outcomes mentioned above, work

commitment has been associated with organizational citizenship behavior (OCB). Employees with high levels of work commitment were more likely to perform voluntary, helpful activities that went beyond their job description which aids the organization (Podsakoff *et al.*, 2018). It further demonstrated why increasing employee work commitment contributed to the efficiency and success of the organization.

Work commitment as a construct was accurately measured because it benefited both the research and practical fields. Different tools and scales have been designed to measure various aspects of organizational commitment.

The most frequently used scale is the Organizational Commitment Questionnaire (OCQ) by Porter *et al.* (1974), which differentiated between and measures affective, continuance, and normative commitment. A growing body of literature suggested that commitment scales do not capture the multifaceted nature of commitment which has prompted the need to create other forms of measurement.

As an example, Meyer *et al.* (2022) explained how the Comprehensive Organizational Commitment Scale (COCS) worked, which attempted to capture various forms and levels of organizational commitment and integrated them into one single measurement. This scale enhanced understanding of organizational commitment and its components deepens and broadens the evaluation.

Commitment to work still attracted intense interest, and one of the most actively researched phenomena of organizational behavior, including its dimensions, antecedents, consequences, and ways to measure it. Recent literature has greatly contributed in understanding the commitment to work and its consequences for individual and organizational performance. Looking ahead, research in this direction further clarified work commitment and its significance on employee behaviors and organizational performance.

#### *D. Relationship of Financial Obligations to Work Stress, Work-Life Balance, and Work Commitment Among Bank Employees*

The relationship between financial obligations and such outcomes as work stress, work-life balance, and work commitment has been explored by several researchers studying employee well-being in the banking industry. The relationship has been a primary focus of many specialized studies, exposing the intricate network of financial obligations and these key outcomes to explain how strongly finance-related stress factors strained employees and shape their feelings and attitudes. (Smith, 2022).

Zhang and Wang (2018) studied the connection between work stress and financial obligations of bank employees, as well as coping strategies, suggesting that coping strategies could mediate the relation. Their study concluded that individuals experiencing higher financial obligation levels tend to show more overall stress, and this association was partly mediated by avoidance and rumination, which were maladaptive coping strategies.

In addition, the work of Liu *et al.* (2020) analyzed how financial responsibilities related to the work-life balance of

bank employees while focusing on the impact of organizational support. The findings showed that employees with high financial obligations experienced poor work-life balance, and this situation worsened in the presence of lack of organizational support, emphasizing the role of positive organizational environments in alleviating the effects of financial burdens.

Also, a study conducted by Smith and Jones (2022) focused on the relationship between financial obligations and work engagement with bank employees, considering the moderating impact of job satisfaction. The results indicated that employees with high financial obligations had low organizational commitment, and this relationship was explained due to lower satisfaction, which mediated the relationship, illustrating the strong impact of financial burdens on modern workers' attitudes towards their employers.

Taken together, the existing literature captured the complex dynamics of financial obligations and stress, work-life balance, and work commitment in the context of bank employees. With these relationships understood, organizations have the opportunity to create specific policies and relief programs aimed at addressing financial burdens to aid these employees and promote their well-being and engagement with the banking system.

### 3. Methodology

This study was guided by a quantitative approach. A quantitative research approach focused on the collection and analysis of numerical data in order to explore phenomena and relationships, stressing the use of statistical methods to test hypotheses and generalize results to a larger population (Creswell, 2017).

Furthermore, a descriptive correlational method was employed to examine the relationship between dependent and independent variables. This method was suitable for evaluating the relationship of financial obligations to work stress, work-life balance, and work commitment of the respondents.

To gather data, the researcher administered a survey questionnaire adapted from existing studies. These methods aided in assessing the levels of financial obligations, work stress, work-life balance, and work commitment of bank employees in General Santos City.

#### A. Sampling Method

The respondents of the study were the employees of the banks or banking institutions operating in the City of General Santos as of October 2024.

The study employed a purposive sampling technique in determining the respondents, a non-probability sampling method where respondents were deliberately chosen based on specific characteristics relevant to the research objectives (Palinkas *et al.*, 2015). The researcher established the respondents in this study on the following criteria: (a) must be a bank employee in General Santos City; and (b) must have a loan or debt.

Using quota sampling, a total of one hundred thirty (130) bank employees or 70% of the total population were selected as respondents.

## 4. Results and Discussions

### A. Age

The majority of the respondents fell within the age group of 29–39 years (42.31%), followed by 18–28 years (30.77%), and 40–50 years (16.92%). A smaller portion belonged to the 51–61 age group (10.00%).

This suggested that the banking workforce in General Santos City was relatively young, with over 70% of employees under the age of 40. This aligned with the trend observed by Twenge (2010), where younger professionals dominated customer service and administrative roles in financial institutions, often balancing career growth and family formation.

Table 1  
Demographic profile of the bank employees in general santos city

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
<b>Age</b>		
29-39	55	42.31
23-28	40	30.77
40-50	22	16.92
51-61	13	10.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>100.00</b>

### B. Sex

The data revealed a female-dominated workforce, with 61.5% female and 38.5% male participants. This gender distribution was consistent with local and global trends in the banking sector, where women often outnumbered men in front-line roles, although men still dominated executive and managerial positions (World Bank, 2021). The predominance of female respondents may also influence how financial stress and work-life balance were experienced, given traditional gender roles in financial caregiving and household management (Del Boca *et al.*, 2020).

Table 2

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
<b>Sex</b>		
Female	80	61.5
Male	50	38.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>100</b>

### C. Civil Status

Table 3

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
<b>Civil Status</b>		
Single	68	52.3
Married	60	46.2
Legally Separated	2	1.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>100</b>

In terms of civil status, 52.3% were single, 46.2% were married, and 1.5% were legally separated.

This indicated a balanced distribution of relationship statuses, which may affect financial obligations differently. Single employees may experience stress from supporting extended family or preparing for future security, while married employees might face additional responsibilities related to children or shared financial burdens (Voydanoff, 2005).

**D. Educational Attainment**

Table 4

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
<b>Educational Attainment</b>		
Bachelor's degree	74	56.9
Master's degree	36	27.7
Doctorate degree	20	15.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>100</b>

Asked regarding their highest educational attainment, the respondents answered that most of them were degree holders (56.9%) with master's degree (27.7%) and doctorate degree (15.4%) following.

This suggested that a significant number of bank employees have attained higher education, which may influence their earning capacity, financial obligations, and career commitment (Becker, 1993). The presence of postgraduate degree holders also reflected aspirations for upward mobility in the industry.

**E. Number of Dependents**

Table 5

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
<b>Number of Dependents</b>		
0-3	67	51.5
None	45	34.6
4-6	18	13.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>100</b>

A substantial portion of respondents (51.5%) reported having 0–3 dependents, while 34.6% had no dependents, and 13.8% had 4–6 dependents.

These figures revealed that most employees carried some degree of financial obligation, with a significant minority supporting multiple dependents. The number of dependents was a critical factor when analyzing financial stress and time allocation between work and personal responsibilities (Allen *et al.*, 2000).

Table 6

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
<b>Tribe</b>		
Cebuano	59	45.4
Tagalog	19	14.6
Ilocano	18	13.8
Ilonggo	17	13.1
Bisaya	8	6.2
Kapampangan	6	4.6
Waray	2	1.5
Tausug	1	0.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>100</b>

**F. Tribe**

The findings identified a diverse set of ethnic backgrounds. The dominant groups of Cebuano (45.4%) and Tagalog (14.6%) had the highest representation, followed by Ilocano (13.8%), Ilonggo (13.1%), and Bisaya (6.2%). Lesser but still considered minority groups such as Kapampangan (4.6%), Waray (1.5%) and Tausug (0.8%) made up the tail. This cultural diversity depicted the overall demographic distribution in General Santos City and posed possibilities on families' financial responsibilities given that different tribes may have diverse paradigms concerning supported extended family members, remittances or funds volunteered for community events

(Agadjanian & Menjivar, 2008).

**G. Bank Classification**

Table 7

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
<b>Bank Classification</b>		
Government	75	57.7
Private	55	42.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>100</b>

The results of the survey stratified by the respondents' bank classification showed that 57.7% of the respondents reported working for government banks, while 42.3% were employed in private banks. From this data, it can be interpreted that government banking institutions formed a considerable part of the banking occupational structure in General Santos City. This observation could indicate that a government job was more stable and offered better benefits, which was often considered ample reason to accept employment (Borst, Kruyen, & Lako, 2019).

**H. Position Level**

Table 8

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
<b>Position Level</b>		
Entry-level Position (Teller, CS Representative, Junior Loan Officer)	86	66.2
Mid-level Position (Personal Banker, Asst. Branch Manager, Loan Officer)	31	23.8
Top-level Position (Branch Manager, Regional Manager, etc.)	10	7.7
Senior-level Position (Branch Manager, Relationship Manager, Senior Loan Officer)	3	2.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>100</b>

As for the job title, the bank also reported having a larger proportion of junior employees, which comprised 66.2% of all respondents. This comprised persons earmarked for posts as Bank tellers, Customer Service (CS) Representatives and Junior Loan Officers. Mid-level positions came at 23.8%, while Senior and Top-level positions made up the remaining 10% in total.

This type of distribution suggested that the organization had embraced a pyramid organizational structure commonly found in most financial institutions (Drucker, 1999). The concentration in lower tiers may influence work dynamics, such as workload distribution, stress exposure, and opportunities for career growth. Entry-level employees may be more vulnerable to financial pressure due to comparatively lower income levels (Lu *et al.*, 2019), potentially impacting their work-life balance and stress levels.

**I. Number of Years Employed**

Table 9

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
<b>Number of Years Employed</b>		
6 years –10 years	52	40.00
More than 1 year – 5 years	45	34.62
Less than 1 year	19	14.62
11 years –15 years	6	4.62
More than 20 years	5	3.85
16 years – 20 years	3	2.31
<b>Total</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>100.00</b>

A significant portion of the workforce (40%) reported having

worked for 6 to 10 years, followed by 34.62% with 1 to 5 years of employment. Only a small fraction (3.85%) had been in the industry for more than 20 years.

This distribution suggested a relatively young and mid-tenure banking workforce in General Santos City. It aligned with findings by Deery and Jago (2015), who emphasized that mid-career professionals often faced heightened financial obligations (e.g., loans, family expenses), which may influence their stress and commitment levels.

*J. Purpose of Loan*

Table 10

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
<b>Purpose of Loan</b>		
House	34	26.2
Car	28	21.5
Health	25	19.2
Education	17	13.1
Gadgets	15	11.5
Travel	14	10.8
Loan/Repay	12	9.2
Investment	10	7.7
None	10	7.7
Business	9	6.9
Others	6	4.6
<b>Wedding/Special</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.1</b>

When asked about the purpose of loan acquisition, the most cited reasons of the respondents were housing (26.2%), car purchase (21.5%), and health needs (19.2%). Educational purposes (13.1%) and gadgets (11.5%) also emerged as relevant financial commitments.

These results revealed that the majority of bank employees prioritized essential life needs—shelter, mobility, and health—over discretionary spending. Housing loans, in particular, were long-term obligations that can exert financial pressure, potentially affecting an employee’s psychological well-being and job performance (Brown, Taylor, & Price, 2005).

*K. Priority in Acquiring a Loan*

The high prioritization of health, housing, and education indicated that employees largely directed financial resources toward essential and security-related needs. Health, in particular, ranked as the most urgent financial concern and top priority. This finding was in line with the observation of Kim and Garman (2004), who noted that health-related financial stress can have a direct and detrimental impact on job satisfaction and productivity.

Similarly, loans for housing and education signified long-term investments in personal and family well-being. These were consistent with Maslow’s hierarchy of needs, which posited that individuals sought to satisfy physiological and safety needs before pursuing higher-level goals (Maslow, 1943). In the context of employment, financial obligations linked to these basic needs may create pressures that influence both work stress and commitment.

On the other hand, wedding-related expenses and travel were among the least prioritized, suggesting that employees considered these as discretionary rather than essential. The low prioritization of such non-urgent expenses may reflect a conservative financial outlook, where resources were reserved for critical and high-return uses (Joo & Grable, 2004).

The overall mean WM of 1.41 fell under the category of Low Debt Level, indicating that, on average, bank employees in General Santos City managed relatively low financial burdens across multiple borrowing sources. This finding was consistent with financial prudence expected of professionals in the banking sector, who were presumed to have higher financial literacy and access to structured loan options (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2014).

The highest mean was recorded for credit card debt (WM = 1.69), suggesting that while still categorized as a moderate level, revolving credit presented a notable source of financial obligation. This aligned with studies noting that credit card use, even among financially literate individuals, can be a stressor if

Table 11  
Priority in acquiring a loan

Descriptive Statistics	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Health	130	1	10	3.89	3.224
Gadget	130	1	10	6.21	2.638
Car	130	1	10	5.31	2.623
House	130	1	10	4.33	2.777
Education	130	1	10	4.15	2.555
Travel	130	1	10	6.44	2.276
Invest	130	1	10	4.95	2.399
Business	130	1	10	5.85	2.264
Repay	130	1	10	6.09	2.900
Wedding	130	1	10	7.76	2.664
Priority	130	5.20	5.50	5.4969	.02767
Valid N (listwise)	130				

Table 12  
Level of financial obligations of bank employees in General Santos City

Indicator	WM	Description
Borrowings from family, friends, and co-workers.	1.14	Low Debt Level
Borrowings from money lenders.	1.42	Low Debt Level
Loan from GSIS, SSS, or Pag-ibig.	1.53	Moderate Debt Level
Loan from banks and other financial institutions.	1.56	Moderate Debt Level
Loan from the bank cooperative.	1.38	Low Debt Level
Loan from credit card.	1.69	Moderate Debt Level
Loan from pawnshop.	1.15	Low Debt Level
<b>Mean</b>	<b>1.41</b>	<b>Low Debt Level</b>



not managed properly (Norvilitis *et al.*, 2006).

Loans from banks (WM = 1.56) and government agencies such as GSIS, SSS, and Pag-ibig (WM = 1.53) were also at moderate levels. These were common, structured, and often long-term financial commitments, indicating a degree of planned borrowing, often for housing or education purposes, as discussed in previous sections of this study.

Borrowings from informal sources like family, friends, and pawnshops yielded the lowest means, indicating that employees were less reliant on these sources, possibly due to the professional stigma or higher personal consequences associated with informal debt (Adams & Webley, 2001).

The relatively low overall debt level may contribute to lower financial stress among employees, potentially enhancing their work-life balance and commitment to their organizations. According to Kim and Garman (2003), manageable levels of debt were associated with reduced absenteeism and improved work outcomes, while high debt correlated with stress-related disengagement.

However, the moderate debt level in formal institutional sources (e.g., banks and credit cards) suggested that certain segments of the workforce may still be vulnerable to financial pressure.

The results presented in the table indicated that the overall mean weighted mean (WM) for work stress indicators among the respondents was 2.99, or "Neutral". This suggested that, on average, bank employees neither strongly agreed nor strongly disagreed with the statements related to work-related stress.

Among the specific indicators, the highest mean was recorded for the item "Working here leaves little time for other activities" (WM = 3.29), followed by "Working here makes it hard to spend enough time with my family" (WM = 3.14), and "I spend so much time at work, I can't see the forest for the

trees" (WM = 3.12). These indicators suggested that the demands of the job significantly interfered with personal time and social obligations, highlighting the strain on work-life balance, a key stressor supported by existing literature.

Similarly, indicators such as "I have too much work and too little time to do it" (WM = 3.08) and "Too many people at my level in the company get burned out by job demands" (WM = 3.08) further reinforced the notion that role overload and occupational burnout were prevalent concerns.

Conversely, the lowest mean score was observed in the item "I feel guilty when I take time off from job" (WM = 2.78). While still within the "Neutral" range, it suggested a relatively lower level of internalized guilt or pressure regarding taking breaks from work. This may reflect either a cultural norm where time off was accepted or a coping mechanism among employees to maintain psychological balance amidst high job demands.

Overall, the findings presented a moderate but concerning level of work stress, where employees showed signs of emotional and temporal strain, but may not yet perceive it as severe or unmanageable.

*L. Nature of Work*

The data in the table indicated that bank employees generally experienced a positive alignment between their job roles and their personal and professional expectations, as evidenced by a mean score of 3.61 ("Agree"). Indicators such as "This organization helps me to grow professionally" (WM = 3.88) and "I believe that my job has societal benefits" (WM = 3.87) suggested that the nature of work contributed positively to their sense of purpose and satisfaction. This aligned with Hackman and Oldham's (1976) Job Characteristics Model, which posited that meaningful work contributed to higher job satisfaction and better work-life balance.

Table 13  
Level of work stress among bank employees in General Santos City

Indicator	WM	Description
I have felt fidgety or nervous as a result of my job.	2.82	Neutral
Working here makes it hard to spend enough time with my family.	3.14	Neutral
My job gets to me more than it should.	3.05	Neutral
I spend so much time at work, I can't see the forest for the trees.	3.12	Neutral
There are lots of times when my job drives me right up the wall.	2.97	Neutral
Working here leaves little time for other activities.	3.29	Neutral
Sometimes when I think about my job I get a tight feeling in my chest.	2.87	Neutral
I frequently get the feeling I am married to the company.	2.93	Neutral
I have too much work and too little time to do it.	3.08	Neutral
I feel guilty when I take time off from job.	2.78	Neutral
I sometimes dread the telephone ringing at home because the call might be job-related.	2.82	Neutral
I feel like I never have a day off.	2.88	Neutral
Too many people at my level in the company get burned out by job demands.	3.08	Neutral
<b>Mean</b>	<b>2.99</b>	<b>Neutral</b>

Table 14  
Level of work-life balance of the bank employees

Indicator	WM	Description
<b>Nature of Work</b>		
This job is matching with my skill set.	3.60	Agree
This job requires a lot of planning and skill.	3.62	Agree
The job needs interpersonal skills to achieve the targets.	3.76	Agree
The job does not give me the freedom to take my own decision to suggest apt investment plans.	3.01	Neutral
I am happy with the nature of work assigned by the organization.	3.56	Agree
This organization helps me to grow professionally.	3.88	Agree
I believe that my job has societal benefits.	3.87	Agree
<b>Mean</b>	<b>3.61</b>	<b>Agree</b>

Table 15

Indicator	WM	Description
<b>Work Flexibility</b>		
Strict login rules are followed in the workplace.	3.85	Agree
My superior is not empathetic to understand whenever I need time off.	2.75	Neutral
I can share my work with my colleagues in case of emergency.	3.50	Agree
The job provides me the option of work from home.	2.42	Disagree
Job rotation practice is not encouraged.	2.37	Disagree
<b>Mean</b>	<b>2.98</b>	<b>Neutral</b>

Table 16

Indicator	WM	Description
<b>Compensation</b>		
I get remuneration as per the Industrial standards.	3.30	Neutral
I get compensated for my extra efforts in the organization.	3.21	Neutral
The organization provides medical benefits to me and my dependents.	3.08	Neutral
The organization does not take care of employee welfare schemes.	2.54	Neutral
I work in such a place that is supportive of my family commitments.	3.36	Neutral
<b>Mean</b>	<b>3.10</b>	<b>Neutral</b>

Table 17

Indicator	WM	Description
<b>Organizational Support</b>		
My casual leave is not easily sanctioned by my manager.	2.85	Neutral
Medical leave is allowed when it is needed.	4.05	Agree
Organization provides paid paternity/maternity leave.	3.95	Agree
This organization does not encourage the employees to be physically fit.	2.51	Neutral
The organization offers personal counselling for enhancing mental health.	3.05	Neutral
On-site child care facility is not provided.	3.22	Neutral
<b>Mean</b>	<b>3.27</b>	<b>Neutral</b>

Table 18

Indicator	WM	Description
<b>Personal Life</b>		
I can have at least 8 hours of sleep.	3.18	Neutral
I always manage to have food on time.	3.22	Neutral
I can't spend quality time with my loved ones.	3.13	Neutral
I am able to make myself free to attend the social gatherings.	3.24	Neutral
My personal life is not moving according to my expectations.	3.05	Neutral
<b>Mean</b>	<b>3.16</b>	<b>Neutral</b>
<b>Over-all Mean</b>	<b>3.22</b>	<b>Neutral</b>

*M. Work Flexibility*

The mean score for work flexibility was 2.98, falling under the "Neutral" category. While employees agreed with the enforcement of strict login rules (WM = 3.85) and expressed a willingness to share work in emergencies (WM = 3.50), they disagreed with the availability of remote work options (WM = 2.42) and job rotation practices (WM = 2.37). The data indicated limited flexibility, which could hinder efforts to achieve work-life balance. Research by Allen et al. (2013) emphasized that flexibility in work arrangements was critical for balancing professional and personal responsibilities, especially in high-demand sectors like banking.

*N. Compensation*

With a mean of 3.10, the compensation dimension also fell within the "Neutral" range. While respondents expressed moderate satisfaction with the organization's support for family commitments (WM = 3.36), concerns were evident regarding employee welfare schemes (WM = 2.54) and recognition for extra efforts (WM = 3.21). The neutral rating implied that while basic compensation was adequate, it may not be sufficient to alleviate financial stress or enhance work-life quality, particularly relevant in the context of financial obligations that may push employees to endure work-related strain.

*O. Organizational Support*

The overall mean for organizational support was 3.27, still within the "Neutral" range, although two indicators were rated as "Agree": availability of medical leave (WM = 4.05) and provision of paternity/maternity leave (WM = 3.95). This suggested a moderate level of institutional support, especially in formal policy areas. However, indicators like denial of casual leave (WM = 2.85) and lack of physical wellness programs (WM = 2.51) highlighted gaps in day-to-day support. Eisenberger et al. (2002) argued that perceived organizational support was pivotal in reducing job stress and improving employee commitment, underscoring the mixed outcomes seen here.

*P. Personal Life*

The personal life component received a mean rating of 3.16, which also indicated a "Neutral" perception. While employees managed basic needs like sleep (WM = 3.18) and timely meals (WM = 3.22), the responses reflected limited time for social and familial engagement—e.g., "I can't spend quality time with my loved ones" (WM = 3.13) and "My personal life is not moving according to my expectations" (WM = 3.05). This supported the idea that personal domains were compromised under the weight of occupational demands, particularly in service-oriented



Table 19  
Level of work commitment of the bank employees

Indicator	WM	Description
<b>Affective Commitment</b>		
I am extremely glad that I chose this company to work for over others I was considering at the time I joined.	3.76	Agree
I talk up this company to my friends as a great company to work for.	3.63	Agree
I am proud to tell others that I am part of this company.	3.86	Agree
<b>Mean</b>	<b>3.75</b>	<b>Agree</b>

Table 20

Indicator	WM	Description
<b>Active Commitment</b>		
I work for the company because it provides me with many on-the-job training opportunities.	3.72	Agree
I work for the company because it is a good chance to realize my goals.	3.57	Agree
I work for the company because I can make full use of what I have learned here.	3.59	Agree
I work for the company because of the challenging job.	3.42	Neutral
I work for the company because there are many opportunities for promotion.	3.49	Neutral
<b>Mean</b>	<b>3.56</b>	<b>Agree</b>

Table 21

Indicator	WM	Description
<b>Normative Commitment</b>		
I consider it my obligation to work for the same company all the while.	3.42	Neutral
I would like lifetime employment if possible.	3.20	Neutral
I would do any job as long as I work here.	3.23	Neutral
<b>Mean</b>	<b>3.28</b>	<b>Neutral</b>

Table 22

Indicator	WM	Description
<b>Value Commitment</b>		
When my colleagues learn something new, they tell me about it.	3.45	Neutral
My colleagues share information they have with me.	3.63	Agree
My colleagues think it is important that I know what they are doing.	3.44	Neutral
My colleagues regularly tell me what they are doing.	3.32	Neutral
<b>Mean</b>	<b>3.46</b>	<b>Neutral</b>
<b>Over-all Mean</b>	<b>3.51</b>	<b>Agree</b>

sectors where customer interaction and performance metrics were constant (Voydanoff, 2005).

*Q. Affective Commitment*

The mean score for affective commitment was 3.75, interpreted as “Agree”, which reflected a generally positive emotional attachment of employees to their organization. High scores on indicators such as “I am proud to tell others that I am part of this company” (WM = 3.86) and “I am extremely glad that I chose this company to work for over others” (WM = 3.76) suggested that employees identified strongly with the bank they work for. This type of commitment was associated with job satisfaction and a sense of belonging, which, according to Meyer and Allen (1991), was a significant predictor of employee retention and engagement.

*R. Active Commitment*

The active commitment component received a mean score of 3.56 (“Agree”), indicating that employees were engaged and motivated by the developmental opportunities provided by the organization. Respondents agreed with statements like “I work for the company because it provides me with many on-the-job training opportunities” (WM = 3.72) and “I work for the company because I can make full use of what I have learned here” (WM = 3.59). These findings reflected that employees perceived the organization as a platform for personal growth and goal achievement, which was essential for sustained organizational performance (Becker, 1960).

However, two items under this component—opportunities for promotion (WM = 3.49) and the challenge of the job (WM = 3.42)—received “Neutral” responses, indicating moderate uncertainty or dissatisfaction in these areas. This suggested that while training and current job roles were appreciated, long-term career advancement might be perceived as limited.

*S. Normative Commitment*

Normative commitment, or the sense of obligation to remain with the organization, received a mean score of 3.28, interpreted as “Neutral”. Employees were less inclined to strongly agree with statements like “I consider it my obligation to work for the same company all the while” (WM = 3.42) and “I would like lifetime employment if possible” (WM = 3.20). This indicated a weaker sense of moral obligation to stay with the organization, which may reflect broader societal trends where lifetime employment was no longer seen as a primary goal (Jaros, 1997). This neutrality suggested that employee loyalty may be contingent on tangible benefits rather than a deeply internalized commitment.

*T. Value Commitment*

Value commitment, which referred to the degree of alignment with and support from coworkers in achieving organizational goals, scored a mean of 3.46—also interpreted as “Neutral.” Although employees agreed that “My colleagues share information they have with me” (WM = 3.63), other items, such as “My colleagues regularly tell me what they are

Table 23  
Relationship between obligations and work stress

Variables	Pearson r	Sig. (2-tailed)	Interpretation
Financial Obligations and Work Stress	0.623	0.000	Significant (Strong)

Table 24  
Relationship between financial obligations and work-life balance

Variables	Pearson r	Sig. (2-tailed)	Interpretation
Financial Obligations and Work-Life Balance	-0.587	0.000	Significant (Strong Negative)

Table 25  
Relationship between obligations and work commitment

Variables	Pearson r	Sig. (2-tailed)	Interpretation
Financial Obligations and Work-Life Balance	0.472	0.000	Significant (Moderate)

doing” (WM = 3.32) and “My colleagues think it is important that I know what they are doing” (WM = 3.44), received slightly lower ratings. This suggested a moderate level of collaboration and information sharing among colleagues. A lack of strong value commitment could hinder team cohesion and efficiency, which were crucial in banking operations (O'Reilly & Chatman, 1986).

As presented in Table 23, the analysis yielded a Pearson correlation coefficient (r) of 0.623 with a p-value of 0.000. This result indicated a strong positive relationship between financial obligations and work stress, which was statistically significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). Employees who carried heavier financial burdens, such as loan repayments, household expenses, and education costs, were more likely to experience higher levels of psychological strain at work.

This result aligned with the theoretical framework of Kahn and Byosiere (1992), who identified financial concerns as potent stressors in occupational settings. Financial stressors can deplete an individual's coping resources, making managing daily work demands and challenges more difficult

Furthermore, the strong correlation suggested that financial wellness was a critical factor in managing employee stress levels. When employees were burdened by debt or financial instability, their cognitive focus may shift from work responsibilities to personal financial concerns, ultimately affecting productivity, motivation, and performance.

A strong negative correlation ( $r = -0.587, p < 0.01$ ) existed between financial obligations and work-life balance, which meant that there was a significant relationship, but it was an inverse (negative) relationship. This implied that as financial obligations increased, the ability of employees to maintain a healthy work-life balance decreased.

This finding was consistent with the work of Greenhaus and Allen (2011), who emphasized the concept of resource drain—the idea that stressors from one life domain (e.g., financial issues) can deplete the emotional and cognitive resources needed to manage another domain (e.g., family or social life).

Furthermore, the data suggested that employees with high financial obligations may face emotional conflicts when choosing between job demands and family expectations. This could lead to feelings of guilt, dissatisfaction, or burnout, which further erode the work-life balance and contribute to diminished overall well-being.

A moderate positive correlation ( $r = 0.472, p = 0.000$ ) was found between financial obligations and work commitment.

The moderate positive correlation indicated that employees

with higher financial obligations were more likely to exhibit stronger work commitment. This may be because financial pressure served as a motivator for employees to remain dedicated to their jobs, driven by the necessity to maintain a steady source of income.

This phenomenon supported Becker's (1960) side-bet theory, which proposed that individuals became more committed to an organization when they perceived they had invested substantially, whether through time, resources, or personal stakes such as financial obligations. The fear of losing the benefits of stable employment, such as salary and benefits, can increase job attachment even if intrinsic satisfaction was not high.

In essence, the findings suggested that financial pressure can foster a pragmatic form of work commitment, whereby employees prioritized job security as a means of financial stability. This highlighted the need for organizations to recognize not only the financial needs of their employees but also to cultivate intrinsic motivators that promote deeper, more enduring forms of commitment.

### 5. Conclusion

In light of the findings of this study, the following conclusions were:

1. Financial obligations have a significant, moderate positive relationship with work commitment. Workers who faced greater financial responsibilities tended to demonstrate increased commitment or attachment towards a job, which seemed driven by the necessity to attain a stable income. This form of commitment, likely out of necessity, rejected the null hypothesis that there was no significant relationship between financial obligations and work commitment among bank employees.

### 6. Recommendations

Based on the conclusions of the study, the following were the recommendations:

1. For banking and financial institutions, it was suggested that the human resources departments may take greater concern for their employees' financial welfare by integrating counseling, debt relief classes, and investment strategy seminars into targeted financial wellness programs.
2. For bank employees, the study suggested that they

may pursue personal financial literacy and wellness programs to comprehend their financial obligations better.

3. For other employees in similarly arranged or high-pressure sectors, it was recommended that they make use of lessons learned in this study and take initiative in managing financial stressors through personal budgeting, workplace communication, and participation in wellness programs. Employees in other industries were encouraged to conduct similar
4. For future researchers, it was suggested that subsequent research be conducted on the connection between the financial responsibilities and the psychological aspects of an individual's life by diving deeper into other moderating factors like coping strategies, emotional understanding, or support systems within the organization.

### References

- [1] Adams, J. S. (1963). Toward an understanding of inequity. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 67(5), 422–436.
- [2] Akhtar, N., Bhatti, K. K., Hussain, T., Shahzad, K., & Umer, M. (2020). Influence of organizational justice on employee affective commitment and turnover intentions: The mediating role of perceived organizational support. *Current Psychology*, 39(6), 2194–2202.
- [3] Alarcon, G. M., & Lyons, J. B. (2019). The relationship of engagement, job satisfaction, job performance, and turnover intention among Hispanic immigrant nurses. *Journal of Professional Nursing*, 35(1), 10–17.
- [4] Allen, D. G., Bryant, P. C., & Vardaman, J. M. (2018). Retaining talent: Replacing misconceptions with evidence-based strategies. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 32(3), 347–370.
- [5] Allen, D. G., Bryant, P. C., Vardaman, J. M., & Hoover, P. B. (2021). A meta-analysis of the relationship between organizational commitment and job performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 106(2), 213–237.
- [6] Allen, T. D., Eby, L. T., Poteet, M. L., Lentz, E., & Lima, L. (2013). Career benefits associated with mentoring for protégés: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 98(1), 125–138.
- [7] Allen, T. D., French, K. A., & Shockley, K. M. (2019). Work–family role management: Exploration of the relationship between role balance satisfaction and adaptive performance. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 24(1), 71–81.
- [8] Allen, T. D., French, K. A., & Shockley, K. M. (2019). Work-life balance: A review and agenda for future research. In *Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management* (Vol. 37, pp. 1–31). Emerald Publishing Limited.
- [9] Allen, T. D., Golden, T. D., & Shockley, K. M. (2021). How effective is telecommuting? Assessing the status of our scientific findings. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 22(2), 74–95.
- [10] Allen, T. D., Johnson, R. C., Kiburz, K. M., & Shockley, K. M. (2018). Work–family boundary dynamics. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 5, 177–202.
- [11] Allen, T. D., Johnson, R. C., Kiburz, K. M., & Shockley, K. M. (2018). Work-family conflict and flexible work arrangements: Deconstructing flexibility. *Personnel Psychology*, 71(2), 215–247.
- [12] Ashforth, B. E., & Mael, F. (1989). Social identity theory and the organization. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(1), 20–39.
- [13] Avadhani, Vidya D. & Menon, Rethy B. (2022). Development and standardization of the work-life balance scale for the insurance sector employees. *Cogent Business & Management*, 9:1, 2154994, DOI: 10.1080/23311975.2022.2154994.
- [14] Avolio, B. J., & Bass, B. M. (2018). Multifactor leadership questionnaire: Manual and sampler set. Mind Garden.
- [15] Avolio, B. J., Kahai, S. S., & Dodge, G. E. (2020). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 31(1), 101–120.
- [16] Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas (2024). Ranking as to Total Assets, Universal and Commercial Bank Group as of 31 December 2024. <https://www.bsp.gov.ph/Statistics/Financial%20Statements/Commercial/assets.aspx>
- [17] Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2018). Job demands-resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 22(3), 273–285.
- [18] Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The job demands-resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309–328.
- [19] Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). *Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership*. Sage Publications.
- [20] Berg, P., Kossek, E. E., Misra, K., & Belman, D. (2020). Work-life flexibility for whom? Occupational status and work-life inequality in upper, middle, and lower level jobs. *ILR Review*, 73(1), 3–26.
- [21] Biron, M., Karanika-Murray, M., & Cooper, C. L. (2019). Improving organizational interventions for stress and well-being: Addressing process and context. *Work & Stress*, 33(2), 105–118.
- [22] Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. New York: Wiley.
- [23] Brown, A. D., Cameron, K. S., & Nembhard, I. M. (2022). *Organizational culture: From theory to practice*. Oxford University Press.
- [24] Brown, M. E., Treviño, L. K., & Harrison, D. A. (2005). Ethical leadership: A social learning perspective for construct development and testing. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 97(2), 117–134.
- [25] Burke, R. J., Richardsen, A. M., & Cooper, C. L. (Eds.). (2020). *Research handbook of work and well-being*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- [26] Cameron, K. S., & Quinn, R. E. (2019). *Diagnosing and changing organizational culture: Based on the competing values framework*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [27] Casper, W. J., Sonnentag, S., & Tremmel, S. (2023). Work–family research: Recent trends and future directions. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 10.
- [28] Chen, S., et al. (2020). The role of leisure activities in mitigating work stress: A daily diary study. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 25(3), 154–168.
- [29] Chen, Y., Zhu, J., & Gao, F. (2019). How ethical leadership influence employees' innovative work behavior: A perspective of intrinsic motivation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 304.
- [30] Chen, X., Li, M., & Wang, Y. (2018). Sources of stress among bank employees: A qualitative study. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 23(3), 314–325.
- [31] Choi, J. N., Price, R. H., & Vinokur, A. D. (2022). Value congruence and employee resilience: A moderated mediation model. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 107(2), 297–312.
- [32] Crouter, A. C., Schneider, B., & Bassett, E. (2019). *Work-family balance*. Routledge.
- [33] De Clercq, D., Haq, I. U., Azeem, M. U., & Asif, M. (2022). Bridging the gap between entrepreneurial orientation and firm performance: Role of value commitment and innovative culture. *Journal of Business Research*, 143, 104–115.
- [34] Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2017). The job demands-resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), 499–512.
- [35] Danes, S. M., Huddleston-Casas, C., & Boyce, L. (2004). Financial planning curriculum for teens: Impact evaluation. *Financial Counseling and Planning*, 15(1), 73–83.
- [36] Diefendorff, J. M., & Lord, R. G. (2021). Values at work: Employees' value congruence, value enactment, and performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 106(4), 576–595.
- [37] Eisenberger, R., Fasolo, P., & Davis-LaMastro, V. (1990). Perceived organizational support and employee diligence, commitment, and innovation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 75(1), 51–59.
- [38] Eisenberger, R., Huntington, R., Hutchison, S., & Sowa, D. (1986). Perceived organizational support. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 71(3), 500–507.
- [39] Eisenberger, R., Stinglhamber, F., Vandenberghe, C., Sucharski, I. L., & Rhoades, L. (2018). Perceived supervisor support: Contributions to perceived organizational support and employee retention. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 103(1), 92–108.
- [40] El Akremi, A., Vandenberghe, C., Camerman, J., & Woods, S. A. (2019). The moderating role of moral intensity in the ethical decision making process: An examination of moral awareness and task characteristics. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 157(4), 977–995.
- [41] Ferrer, Jerick. (2017). Caught in a Debt Trap? An Analysis of the Financial Well-Being of Teachers in the Philippines. *The Normal Lights*. Volume 11, No. 2
- [42] Fisher, G. G., Matthews, R. A., & Gibbons, A. M. (2016). Developing and

- investigating the use of single-item measures in organizational research. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 21(1), 3-23.
- [44] Freedman, S., & Longhini, A. (2021). The moderating effect of normative commitment on the relationship between affective commitment and turnover intentions: A study on Italian employees. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 711273.
- [45] Gajendran, R. S., & Harrison, D. A. (2020). The good, the bad, and the unknown about telecommuting: Meta-analysis of psychological mediators and individual consequences. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 105(9), 1209-1234.
- [46] Garcia, A., Martinez, L., & Rodriguez, M. (2022). Financial obligations and job performance among bank employees: The mediating role of stress. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 45(2), 211-223.
- [47] Golden, T. D., Veiga, J. F., & Dino, R. N. (2019). The impact of professional isolation on teleworker job performance and turnover intentions: Does time spent teleworking, interacting face-to-face, or having access to communication-enhancing technology matter? *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 104(9), 1263-1273.
- [48] Grawitch, M. J., Barber, L. K., & Raghuram, S. (2019). The role of time in the stressor-strain relationship: Examining the contradictory nature of flexible work arrangements. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 24(3), 305.
- [49] Grawitch, M. J., Gottschalk, M., & Munz, D. C. (2020). The path to a healthier workplace: The role of organizational climate in promoting employee well-being. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 25(1), 81-96.
- [50] Greenhaus, J. H., & Allen, T. D. (2019). Work-life balance: A review and extension of the literature. In J. Houdmont & S. Leka (Eds.), *Occupational health psychology: European perspectives on research, education, and practice* (pp. 27-56). Springer.
- [51] Greenhaus, J. H., & Beutell, N. J. (2018). Work-family balance: A review and extension of the literature. *Handbook of occupational health and wellness*, 71-81.
- [52] Greenhaus, J. H., & Powell, G. N. (2018). When work and family are allies: A theory of work-family enrichment. *Academy of Management Review*, 31(1), 72-92.
- [53] Grojean, M. W., Resick, C. J., Dickson, M. W., & Smith, D. B. (2023). A social learning perspective of ethical leadership: Building a theoretical framework and research agenda. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 34(1), 101512.
- [54] Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (2018). *Work redesign*. Academic Press.
- [55] Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1976). Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 16(2), 250-279.
- [56] Han, Y., Han, S., Shin, Y., & Hur, W. (2020). Job embeddedness as a mediator between perceived organizational support and affective commitment. *Journal of Career Development*, 47(6), 709-723.
- [57] Hennig-Thurau, T., Malthouse, E. C., Friege, C., Gensler, S., Lobschat, L.,
- [58] Rangaswamy, A., & Skiera, B. (2010). The impact of new media on customer relationships. *Journal of Service Research*, 13(3), 311-330.
- [59] Hill, E. J., Grzywacz, J. G., Allen, S., Blanchard, V. L., Matz-Costa, C., &
- [60] Shulkin, S. (2022). Employer-supported volunteering and employee work-family conflict: A cross-level investigation of time demands and control. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 43(3), 218-233.
- [61] Hobfoll, S. E. (2011). *Conservation of resources theory: Its implications for stress, health, and resilience*. Oxford University Press.
- [62] Hobfoll, S. E. (1989). Conservation of resources: A new attempt at conceptualizing stress. *American psychologist*, 44(3), 513-524.
- [63] Huang, X., Sun, J., Shi, J., & Wang, M. (2021). Research on the influence of employee's work-life balance on job satisfaction: From the perspective of JD-R theory. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 1-8.
- [64] Jensen, J. M., Patel, P. C., & Messersmith, J. G. (2019). High-performance work systems and job control: Consequences for anxiety, role overload, and turnover intentions. *Journal of Management*, 45(3), 1095-1116.
- [65] Johnson, R., & Brown, S. (2020). Financial obligations and job satisfaction among bank employees: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 105(4), 501-513.
- [66] Johnson, L. K., Smith, A. B., & Parker, M. A. (2021). Work-life balance interventions and organizational commitment: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 26(3), 378-389.
- [67] Johnson, S. J., & Brown, M. (2021). Relationships at work and at home: Spillover, social support, and well-being. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Psychology*.
- [68] Judge, T. A., & Piccolo, R. F. (2004). Transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analytic test of their relative validity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(5), 755-768.
- [69] Kalliath, T. J., & Brough, P. (2019). Work-life balance: A review of the meaning of the balance construct. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 25(3), 315-331.
- [70] Kelliher, C., & Anderson, D. (2018). Doing more with less? Flexible working practices and the intensification of work. *Human Relations*, 71(1), 110-132.
- [71] Kelly, E. L., & Moen, P. (2007). Rethinking the clockwork of work: Why schedule control may pay off at work and at home. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 9(4), 487-506.
- [72] Kim, S., & Bhawe, D. P. (2021). Telecommuting, mobile technology, and boundary management strategies: The moderating role of supervisor support. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 42(7), 822-838.
- [73] Knights, D., & Tannock, S. (2018). *Values-based leadership: Re-engineering ethics and engagement*. Routledge.
- [74] Kossek, E. E., & Lautsch, B. A. (2020). *CEO of me: Creating a life that works in the flexible job age*. Harvard Business Review Press.
- [75] Kossek, E. E., & Thompson, R. J. (2019). Work, family, and life-cycle management: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 45(6), 2415-2445.
- [76] Kossek, E. E., Baltes, B. B., & Matthews, R. A. (2022). Individual and organizational predictors of work-family role blurring: The moderating role of work-family policy use and gender. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 43(1), 1-18.
- [77] Kossek, E. E., Lautsch, B. A., & Eaton, S. C. (2021). Telework, control, and boundary management: Correlates of policy use and practice implications for human resource management. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 42(7), 775-796.
- [78] Kossek, E. E., Michel, J. S., & Thompson, R. J. (2021). Bridging boundary research and management. *Academy of Management Annals*, 15(1), 219-252.
- [79] Kundnani, N., & Mehta, P. (2015). Identifying the Impact of Financial Obligations on Overall Stress and Work-Life Balance of Bank Employees. *International Journal of Marketing and Human Resource Management (IJMHRM)*. Volume 6, Issue 3, Sep-Dec (2015), pp. 1-9.
- [80] Lapierre, L. M., Kalleberg, A. L., & Wiens-Tuers, B. A. (2022). Work-life balance: A review and future research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 48(1), 11-47.
- [81] Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. Springer publishing company.
- [82] Le, B. A., Tran, D. T., & Nguyen, B. T. (2021). Understanding the roles of organization-based self-esteem and value congruence in the relationships between high-performance work system, affective commitment, and turnover intention. *Human Resource Management*, 60(3), 335-351.
- [83] Lee, J., et al. (2022). The role of self-care in work-life balance and mental health: A daily diary study. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 132, 103738.
- [84] Lee, K., Lee, M., & Kim, S. (2023). Ethical leadership and employee moral voice: Moderating effects of inclusive organizational climate and ethical culture. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 190(3), 459-474.
- [85] Lepak, D. P., & Shaw, J. D. (2018). Strategic HRM in context: A review of the literature and suggestions for future research. *Human Resource Management Review*, 28(1), 71-85.
- [86] LePine, J. A., Piccolo, R. F., Jackson, C. L., Mathieu, J. E., & Saul, J. R. (2008). A meta-analysis of teamwork processes: Tests of a multidimensional model and relationships with team effectiveness criteria. *Personnel Psychology*, 61(2), 273-307.
- [87] Li, J., & Liu, Y. (2021). Overall stress and turnover intentions among bank employees: The moderating role of job satisfaction. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 26(2), 214-226.
- [88] Liu, D., Li, H., Chen, J., & Kwan, H. K. (2023). Telework and employee outcomes: The roles of intrinsic motivation, basic need satisfaction, and job demands-resources. *Human Resource Management*, 62(1), 29-43.
- [89] Liu, Q., Wang, H., & Zhang, X. (2020). Financial obligations, work-life balance, and organizational support among bank employees: A moderated mediation model. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 41(3), 321-334.
- [90] Loureiro, S. M. C., & Branco, M. C. (2022). Creating organizational value through sustainability practices. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 334, 130667.

- [91] McCarthy, J. M., Trougakos, J. P., Cheng, B. H., & Grimm, C. M. (2020). Are anxious workers less productive workers? It depends on the quality of social exchange. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 105(7).
- [92] McNamara, M. J., & Bohle, P. (2020). The unintended consequences of teleworking: A study of the boundaries between paid work and personal life. *Work, Employment and Society*, 34(3), 431-448.
- [93] Mello, J. A., & Ribeiro, J. L. (2023). Effects of decision-making autonomy on the relationship between strategic planning and job satisfaction in public organizations. *Journal of Business Research*, 152, 205-215.
- [94] Meyer, J. P., & Allen, N. J. (1991). A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment. *Human Resource Management Review*, 1(1), 61-89.
- [95] Meyer, J. P., & Herscovitch, L. (2001). Commitment in the workplace: Toward a general model. *Human Resource Management Review*, 11(3), 299-326.
- [96] Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., & Herscovitch, L. (2019). Commitment in the workplace: Toward a general model. *Human Resource Management Review*, 29(3), 265-276.
- [97] Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnytsky, L. (2022). Organizational commitment in the 21st century: A comprehensive scale development and validation study. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 107(1), 98-126.
- [98] Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnytsky, L. (2002). Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: A meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 61(1), 20-52.
- [99] Milkovich, G. T., & Newman, J. M. (2021). *Compensation*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- [100] Moen, P., Pedtke, J. H., Lam, J., Shdaimah, C. S., Olson, R., & Prasad, S. (2018). Pathways of choice: Racial/ethnic differences in graduate pathways to retirement security. *The Gerontologist*, 58(6), 1133-1144.
- [101] Ng, E. S., Sears, G. J., Chow, W. S., Loi, R., & Leung, A. W. (2022). Cultural intelligence and the boundary conditions of cultural accommodation: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 43(1), 3-27.
- [102] Ng, K. Y., Ang, S., & Chan, K. Y. (2020). *Leadership and organizational behavior: A behavioral science approach*. Cambridge University Press.
- [103] Ng, T. W., Ang, S., & Searle, R. (2022). Ethical climate and employee outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Personnel Psychology*, 75(4), 783-824.
- [104] Nguyen, T. H., Nguyen, H. T. M., Nguyen, T. D., & Nguyen, T. T. (2020). The influence of organizational culture and job satisfaction on organizational commitment and performance: Evidence from Vietnamese banking industry. *Management Science Letters*, 10(11), 2479-2492.
- [105] Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. (2015). Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed method implementation research. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 42(5), 533-544.
- [106] Park, Y., & Lee, D. (2021). Work-life meaning and subjective well-being: A person-centered approach. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 22(1), 107-126.
- [107] Parker, D. F., and DeCotiis, T. A. (1983). Organizational determinants of job stress. *Organ. Behav. Human Perform.* 32, 160-177.
- [108] Perry, S. J., Rubino, C., & Witt, L. A. (2021). Workaholism, personality, and career outcomes: The moderating role of demographic variables. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 171, 110519.
- [109] Podsakoff, P. M., Ahearn, M., & MacKenzie, S. B. (1997). Organizational citizenship behavior and the quantity and quality of work group performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 82(2), 262-270.
- [110] Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Moorman, R. H., & Fetter, R. (1990). Transformational leader behaviors and their effects on followers' trust in leader, satisfaction, and organizational citizenship behaviors. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 1(2), 107-142.
- [111] Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Paine, J. B., & Bachrach, D. G. (2000). Organizational citizenship behaviors: A critical review of the theoretical and empirical literature and suggestions for future research. *Journal of Management*, 26(3), 513-563.
- [112] Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Podsakoff, N. P., & Bachrach, D. G. (2018). Organizational citizenship behavior: A critical review of the theoretical and empirical literature and suggestions for future research. *Journal of Management*, 26(3), 513-563.
- [113] Porter, L. W., Steers, R. M., Mowday, R. T., & Boulian, P. V. (1974). Organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and turnover among psychiatric technicians. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 59(5), 603-609.
- [114] Raghuram, S., Wiesenfeld, B. M., & Garud, R. (2021). Work-life balance: A review and future research agenda. *Academy of Management Annals*, 15(1), 152-188.
- [115] Rhoades, L., Eisenberger, R., & Armeli, S. (2001). Affective commitment to the organization: The contribution of perceived organizational support. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(5), 825-836.
- [116] Riketta, M., & Dick, R. V. (2020). Foci of attachment and turnover: An integrated model of voluntary turnover. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 105(10), 1152-1174.
- [117] Robinson, D. E., Cox, J., & Deegear, J. (2020). Social and values-based selection as an HRM practice: Links between values, behavior, and psychological contracts in an organizational context. *Human Resource Management*, 59(1), 47-62.
- [118] Rudolph, C. W., Allan, B. A., & Clark, M. A. (2023). Revisiting flexible work arrangements: A meta-analysis of their impact on work-life balance. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 133, 103706.
- [119] Sardeshmukh, S. R., Sharma, D., & Golden, T. D. (2018). Impact of telework on exhaustion and job engagement: A job demands and job resources model. *New Technology, Work and Employment*, 33(2), 95-112.
- [120] Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational culture and leadership*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [121] Shah, S. H. A., Haider, A., Jindong, J., Mumtaz, A., and Rafiq, N. (2021). The impact of job stress and state anger on turnover intention among nurses during COVID-19: the mediating role of emotional exhaustion. *Front. Psychol.*
- [122] Shockley, K. M., Clark, M. A., & Dodd, H. (2018). Boundary conditions for the telecommuting paradox: A conceptual model. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 33(1), 121-131.
- [123] Shockley, K. M., Shen, W., DeNunzio, M. M., & Arvan, M. L. (2018). Disentangling the relationship between gender and work-life conflict: An integration of theoretical perspectives using meta-analytic methods. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 103(5), 545-568.
- [124] Smith, P., & Jones, L. (2022). Financial obligations and organizational commitment among bank employees: The mediating role of job satisfaction. *Journal of Organizational Psychology*, 34(1), 89-102.
- [125] Smith, R., Johnson, M., & Williams, K. (2019). Financial obligations and psychological distress among bank employees: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Occupational Health*, 42(2), 132-144.
- [126] Smith, J. M., & Davis, K. A. (2019). The impact of flexible work arrangements on organizational commitment: A study in the technology sector. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 29(3), 333-347.
- [127] Smith, M., et al. (2019). Marital satisfaction, partner support, and work-family conflict: A spillover-crossover model. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 81(3), 649-668.
- [128] Smith, R. H., & Rose, J. (2021). *Employee benefits: Balancing organizational objectives and employee needs*. Routledge.
- [129] Srivastava, M., & Bhattacharya, S. (2021). The role of transformational leadership in influencing affective commitment through organizational culture. *Management Decision*, 59(1), 136-155.
- [130] Su, J., Yang, H., & Huang, C. (2018). Value congruence and job satisfaction among Chinese employees: A moderated mediation model. *Personnel Review*, 47(7), 1677-1693.
- [131] Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict.
- [132] W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The social psychology of intergroup relations* (pp. 33-47). Brooks/Cole.
- [133] Tang, N., Lu, K., & Lu, J. (2020). Organizational culture and work-life balance practices as predictors of organizational commitment. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 26(3), 372-390.
- [134] Tepper, B. J. (2018). Abusive supervision in work organizations: Review, synthesis, and research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 36(3), 178-211.
- [135] Thompson, M. N., & Clark, M. A. (2023). Role identity centrality and work-family balance outcomes: The mediating role of psychological detachment. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 28(1), 29-40.
- [136] Vroom, V. H. (1964). *Work and motivation*. Wiley.
- [137] Wang, H., Wei, X., & Tan, Y. (2022). How does affective commitment foster employees' innovative behavior? The mediating role of knowledge sharing. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 26(1), 2250003.
- [138] Wang, L., & Seo, M. G. (2021). Understanding AI's impact on work: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 47(7), 1917-1946.

- [139] Wang, L., & Zhang, W. (2019). Overall stress and job performance among bank employees: The mediating role of psychological well-being. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 24(3), 358-370.
- [140] Williams, M., & Jones, F. (2018). Exercise, mindfulness, and well-being: An exploratory study of the mechanisms underlying mindfulness practice and physical activity in the workplace. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 821.
- [141] Wong, Anthony (2014). Evaluation of Organizational Commitment Models and their Components in Asian Cities. Macrothink Institute. *International Journal of Human Resources Studies*.
- [142] Zhou, L., & Wang, M. (2020). Work–family balance and employee well-being: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 105(2), 129-151.
- [143] Zhang, Y., & Wang, Q. (2018). Financial obligations, coping strategies, and overall stress among bank employees: A moderated mediation model. *Journal of Occupational Health*, 39(4), 411-423.